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ABSTRACT

Microscopic trapezoidal micas are reported from the Sutlej section of the Higher Himalayan Shear Zone, India, and are attributed to an up-dip top-to-southwest sense of brittle shear along C planes of preexisting ductile shear dipping northeast. The longest margins of these trapezoids dip northeast and are oblique to either the C planes or their enveloping planes. Intragrain slips along cleavages aided their thrusting, while the surrounding competent quartzofeldspathic minerals resisted it. The cleavages of the trapezoids are parallel to their longest margins. These cleavages, the longest margins, the overall asymmetry of trapezoid aggregates, and the trails emanating from their corners are reliable indicators of brittle shear sense. Trails broken from the corners of developing trapezoids define both the P and the Y shear planes. Subcircular stacks and triangular mineral grains are also possible variations of trapezoids. Some of the trapezoidal micas were products of ductile-brittle deformation. Variable intensities of dynamic recrystallization around the trapezoid margins indicate the rock did not strain-harden uniformly. The nongenetic interlinked morphological parameters of the trapezoid aspect ratio, internal angles, and local orientation vary widely and partially define the orientation and the geometry of the trapezoids. Further studies of the three-dimensional shapes and genesis of trapezoidal minerals are planned.

Many structural geologists look at the physical or structural aspects of minerals and rocks, especially from the viewpoint of deformation processes and preferred orientations of grains, without being concerned about the chemical aspects of these processes. (Vernon 2004)

Introduction

Ductile shearing of rocks on meso- and microscopic scales may result in sigmoid-, lenticular-, and parallelogram-shaped mineral grains (Lister and Snoke 1984; ten Grotenhuis 2003; Passchier and Trouw 2005; Mukherjee 2011). Symmetric and asymmetric sigmoid- and lens-shaped duplexes are common structures attributed to mesoscale brittle shear (e.g., McClay 1992). By contrast, trapezoidal mineral grains have been merely photomicrographed in other studies (e.g., Mukherjee 2007, 2008, 2010a, 2010b; Mukherjee and Koyi 2010a, 2010b; fig. 12.40 of Trouw et al. 2010) but have not received the attention they deserve.

A trapezoid is a quadrilateral with only a single pair of parallel sides. A review of the literature reveals a number of examples of trapezoidal grains or aggregates (figs. 1, 2). These include (i) conjugate normal (or thrust) mesoscopic faults leading to trapezoidal grabens (or horsts) in profile views (fig. 1ia, 1ib; e.g., Twiss and Moores 2007); (ii) extensional shear-fracture boudins where trapezoids and their inverted equivalents alternate in trains (fig. 1ii; Mandal et al. 2000; also see fig. 14.5 of Fossen 2010); (iii) folding of rectangular boudinaged layers may result in trapezoidal clasts with notches (fig. 1iii; Sengupta 1983); (iv) intersecting irregular fractures (in rocks) that may generate quasi trapezoids, as are seen in basalts (fig. 1iv; S. Mukherjee, unpublished observation); (v) anastomosing foliations that enclose trapezoidal microlithons (fig. 1v; Powell 1979,
Figure 1. Trapezoid-shaped fabrics and structures. *ia*, graben structure; *ib*, horst structure; *ii*, extensional shear-fracture boudins (drawn from fig. 1c of Mandal et al. 2000); *iii*, boudins with notches (drawn from figs. 11c, 12c of Sengupta 1983); *iv*, irregular fractures (drawn from fig. 12 of Bahat 1987); *v*, anastomosing foliation and bounding microlithons; *vi, vii*, overlap of grains in different situations; *viii*, window structure; *ix*, rock fragment in a sedimentary matrix. A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the *Journal of Geology* office.
Figure 2. Previously reported trapezoid-shaped grains in our published work; few adjacent grains are outlined. The dashed lines in c, f, and g are the possible grain boundaries. Thinner lines are the cleavage planes. Except staurolite grains in h, all other outlines of trapezoids are micas. In a, while the trapezoid thrust along the margin of another grain [p], the former cut across another grain [q]. A few cases of trapezoid shapes [c, e–k] are found and few others depart from the shape in the strictest sense [a, b, d]. Trapezoids in a, c, e–g, i, and j give a top-to-southwest shear sense. The other trapezoids in b, d, h, and k do not reveal any shear sense (since their longest margins are parallel to the Y planes). “Enveloping lines” of an aggregate of trapezoids are defined in g, and the “longest margin” [full arrow] in j. Grains in a–d are outlined from figure 12a–12d of Mukherjee and Koyi (2010a); e–h from fig. 9a–9d and i–k from fig. 10b–10d of Mukherjee and Koyi (2010b). a–d are from the Sutlej section of the Higher Himalayan Shear Zone, whereas the others are from the Zanskar Shear Zone. A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the Journal of Geology office.
brittle planes that are oblique to them are the $P$ planes; review in Passchier and Trouw 2005, their fig. 5.50.] These authors presented the following different modes of occurrences for the trapezoid-shaped micas, namely, [i] one trapezoid grain thrust over another along the longest margin of the former; [ii] instead of a single grain of muscovite, a number of muscovite grains define an aggregate of trapezoids; [iv] the longest margin of the trapezoid is wavy; [v] the longest margin of muscovite trapezoids are juxtaposed against other muscovite grains; [vi] muscovite trapezoids are juxtaposed with quartzofeldspathic minerals; [vii] an aggregate of muscovite grains that together define a number of thrust slices wherein only one muscovite grain is trapezoid shaped, [viii] symmetrically and asymmetrically stacked staurolite grains where the former stacks do not reveal any shear sense. Mukherjee and Koyi (2010) also described trapezoid-shaped micas where the nonparallel sides are convex toward the grains themselves. Such shapes were interpreted as products of grain boundary migration and not as brittle shear.

From the Sutlej section of the Higher Himalayan Shear Zone (HHSZ) in the western Indian Himalaya, this work enumerates Mukherjee’s (2007, 2010a, 2010b) and Mukherjee and Koyi’s (2010a, 2010b) observations on trapezoidal mineral grains and details: [i] their morphological variations; [ii] definition and estimation of parameters related to their shapes and orientation; and [iii] their brittle shear sense. Thus, it is the first dedicated work on the trapezoid-shaped grains.

**Geology of the Study Area**

The HHSZ is exposed in the Sutlej River section (fig. 3) in the Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh (India). Here the HHSZ consists of gneisses and schists of Precambrian and Proterozoic ages. The rocks were metamorphosed at dominantly greenschist to amphibolite facies (Grasemann et al. 1999; Vannay et al. 1999; Vannay and Grasemann 2001). Two strands of the Main Central Thrust are exposed—one that skirts the underlying Lesser Himalayan Larji-Kulu-Rampur Window of sedimentary rocks and another that passes through Karcham as the MCT-upper (MCT$_U$). Rocks northeast of the MCT$_U$ belong to the Vaikrita Group (Srikantia and Bhargava 1998). Mukherjee (2010b) presented a detailed photographic description of structures of this shear zone from field and microscopic studies. Mukherjee and Koyi (2010a) grouped rocks from Karcham up to Shongthong in the northeast along the river section as nonmigmatitic and Shongthong to the northern extremity of the HHSZ as migmatitic rocks. The thin sections studied in this work come from both the migmatitic and the nonmigmatitic rocks. These rocks are located northeast of the MCT$_U$.

The upper part of the HHSZ, from the MCT$_U$ up to the northern boundary of the shear zone, underwent three dominant deformation and extrusion phases (Mukherjee and Koyi 2010a). These were [i] $E_1$, top-to-southwest ductile shear (also see Mukherjee 2012a) during $\sim$25–19 Ma, and [ii] $E_2$, a combination of simple shear and channel flow during $\sim$15–12 Ma. Top-to-northeast extensional shear developed inside the South Tibetan Detachment System–upper (STDS$_U$) and the South Tibetan Detachment System–lower (STDS$_L$). [iii] a pre–12 Ma event of top-to-southwest of brittle shear (also see Mukherjee 2012b). The earlier $C_s$ planes of ductile shear latter reactivated as the $Y$ planes of brittle shear. A component of pure shear has also been quantified in terms of a kinematic vorticity number of 0.86 (Grasemann et al. 1999) and 0.73–0.81 (Law et al. 2011). Based on U-Th-Pb dates of monazite, it has very recently been shown that the HHSZ in the Sutlej section extruded by channel-flow and critical-taper mechanisms in a flipping mode (Chambers et al. 2011; S. Mukherjee, unpublished manuscript).

**Genesis, Shear Sense, and Morphometry**

The XZ-oriented thin sections of rocks of the HHSZ, Sutlej, section reveal trapezoidal mica grains (figs. 4, 5a, 5c, 5d, 7a, 7b, 8b–8d; also see fig. 3a–3c of Holyoke and Tullis 2006 for comparison) or aggregates in stacks (figs. 7c, 10b–10d) that are usually of muscovite $\pm$ biotite $\pm$ chlorite. Being comparatively incompetent [weak], micas are expected to exhibit higher strains than any surrounding quartzofeldspathic minerals (Blenkinsop 2000; Passchier and Trouw 2005; Mukherjee 2011). One of the two parallel margins of individual trap-

The trapezoidal mica grains reported here cannot be explained by any of hypotheses [i] to [ix] in the previous section (fig. 1). For example, [i], they cannot obviously be compared with mesoscopic grabens or horsts. This is because there is no microscopic evidence that the nonparallel margins of these trapezoids underwent brittle displacement with respect to any adjacent counterpart mineral
grains. In almost all cases, the two nonparallel margins of mica trapezoids are in contact with quartzofeldspathic minerals, that is, with other minerals. (ii) Had they been shear boudins, these trapezoids would occur in trains. Secondly, the foliation planes in the matrix minerals that bound them show no sign of being deflected into the intertrapezoid spaces. None of the observed trapezoids occur along a line, and none are wrapped by the foliation. Deflection of foliation at the intertrapezoid space would indicate that those trapezoids at one time were joined together and later pulled apart. The void created between the pulled-apart grain is occupied by materials from the matrix [e.g., Hip-
A top-to-southwest sense of brittle shear is displayed by mica trapezoids. In a and d, the Y planes are extrapolated from outside the field of view. a, Biotite trapezoid with straight margins unaffected by recrystallization thrust over two quartzofeldspathic minerals. Photo in plane-polarized light; photo length = 5 mm; sample 8. b, Two aggregates of quartzofeldspathic minerals (white borders) demonstrate a prior ductile shear sense that matches with the late brittle shear indicated by the biotite trapezoid with straight margins and no recrystallization. The trapezoid is magnified in c, where a recrystallized tail (arrow) also defines the P plane. b and c are in cross-polarized light; sample 12. Photo length in c is 2.5 mm. d, Biotite trapezoid with straight margins devoid of any recrystallization thrust over an irregular-shape biotite grain. Photo in plane-polarized light. Photo length = 5 mm; sample 17. A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the Journal of Geology office.

None of the trapezoidal grains presented here (figs. 4–10) match any of the previously well-established geometries of ductile shear fabrics, namely, sigmoidal, lenticular, or parallelogram. The second reason for not attributing them to ductile shears is that their longest margins dip northeast throughout the HHSZ. This also includes the two ductile extensional shear zones—the STDSO and the STDSI—where intense top-to-northeast ductile shear gave rise to southwest-dipping ductile shear fabrics. Thus, the inclination of the trapezoids (later defined as the “local orientation”) is opposite to the fabrics related to the latest and most intense ductile deformation within the STDSO and the STDSI. In addition, the trapezoids are not products of specific orientation of cross-sectioning of mica grains in thin sections. If mica grains could yield trapezoidal shapes in thin sections from their initial random...
orientations, then those trapezoids are also expected to be haphazardly disposed. This is also not the case.

On the other hand, mesoscopic thrust slices or duplexes with $P$ planes dipping uniformly north or northeast (oblique to the $C$ plane) demonstrate a uniform top-to-southwest sense of brittle shear throughout the HHSZ in the study area (figs. 3.7a–3.7d of Mukherjee 2007; fig. 11a–11d of Mukherjee and Koyi 2010a; figs. 22a–22c, 23a–23d, 24 of Mukherjee 2011). Since no existing genetic theories account for the trapezoids and their longest margins always dip northeast and either are at an angle to the $C$ planes (figs. 4–7) or the lines envelope the trapezoids parallel the $C$ planes (figs. 8–10), these trapezoid shapes are proposed to be grain-scale products of a top-to-southwest brittle shear. This conjecture receives support from observations that the microscopic ductile shear $C$ planes abruptly truncate anhedral mineral grains such as quartz (fig. 8a). This indicates that those planes also record a late-phase brittle deformation and when the longest margin of the trapezoid-shaped grain is in contact with another grain of the same mineral species exhibiting equal brightness at all microscopic orientations in plane- and cross-polarized lights (figs. 6d, 7b, 7c, 8a, 9b, 10d). These observations indicate that those two grains were originally a part of a
precursor single grain that later underwent relative translation without rotation consistent with brittle slip. However, there also are cases where the two grains of the same species in contact have different brightness (figs. 4d, 6a). In this work, a number of trapezoids reveal the same shear sense as other shear sense indicators in the same field of view (figs. 4b, 5d, 7b, 10a). In the other photomicrographs, no additional shear sense indicators are present (figs. 4, 5a–5c, 6, 7a–7c, 8, 9, 10b–10d). In the latter cases, where the longest margins of the trapezoids are inclined to the brittle shear Y planes (figs. 4, 5a–5c, 6, 7a–7c, 8b), the brittle shear sense is bases solely on the inclination of the longest margin of the trapezoids. The top-to-southwest brittle shear sense anticipated in all these microscopic cases match the macroscopic brittle shear sense established by field studies from the HHSZ by Mukherjee (2007, 2010b), Mukherjee and Koyi (2010a).

The longest margins of the trapezoids are considered as the P planes since they are inclined at an angle to the brittle shear Y planes. The longest margins may be straight (fig. 5a is the best example, but see also figs. 5d, 6c, 6d, 7a, 9d) at a given magnification or are curved to various extent (figs. 5b, 5c, 6a, 7b, 7c, 9b, 9c, 10b). As has been noted for ductile deformed isolated mineral fish [e.g., Mukherjee 2010a [fig. 8b], 2010b, 2011], those trape-
zoids of micas that lack contact with other under-thrust mica grains and are found as isolated grains completely surrounded by quartzofeldspathic minerals (figs. 5c, 7a). The isolated mica trapezoids are speculated to have been translated relatively longer distances by thrusting so that the components of the once-continuous mica grain have been completely separated. Interestingly, mica fish devoid of any associated fish trails (= ductile shear planes) have also been reported isolated in quartzofeldspathic surroundings from mylonites (e.g., fig. 8b of Mukherjee 2011).

Except for the symmetric stacks (figs. 8, 9, 10c), the inclination (with respect to the Y planes) of the longest margins of these trapezoidal grains are reliable brittle shear sense indicators. All indicate a top-to-southwest sense (figs. 4–6, 7c, 8b, 10a, 10b) that matches with that documented from the HHSZ by Mukherjee (2007) and Mukherjee and Koyi (2010a). In addition, (i) cleavages, if visible within the trapezoids, (ii) some of the individual trapezoids in asymmetric stacks, and (iii) the super-trapezoids, taking into consideration the whole stack, all display the same shear sense (figs. 5b, 7c, 10b) and, therefore, are also reliable shear sense indicators.

A few trapezoidal grains display trails (or “tails”) of fine-grained minerals emanating from their corners where their longest margins end (figs. 4c, 6b). As the trapezoids themselves are not products of ductile shear, their trails obviously cannot be related to ductile deformation. Instead, since these trails are aligned both along the P and Y planes, they can be considered as another reliable indicator of brittle shear sense. It is proposed that such trails have been fragmented from the corners of the trap-
Figure 8. Trapezoid-shaped micas with their longest margins parallel to the northeast-dipping Y planes. a, Muscovite of nearly trapezoid shape sharply cuts across a quartz grain (arrow) along the Y plane. b, Weak asymmetry of the grain margin of the biotite trapezoid (dotted line) shows a top-to-southwest brittle shear sense that matches with that given by the adjacent single sigmoid quartz fish (grain p). c, A thin film of dynamic recrystallization mantles the muscovite grain; the mantled zone in the right-hand nonparallel margin of the trapezoid is thicker (full arrow) than the left-hand margin. d, Biotite trapezoid. In a, c, and d, the trapezoids are symmetric and themselves do not display any shear sense. In a and b, the cleavages of the mica grains are gently curved and are nearly parallel to the longest margins of the trapezoids. The Y plane (black line) in d is extrapolated from outside the field of view. a–c shown in cross-polarized light; d is in plane-polarized light. Photo lengths: a, 2.5 mm (sample 11); b, 5 mm (sample 13); c, 2.5 mm (sample 14); d, 2.5 mm (sample 15). A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the Journal of Geology office.

ezoids by brittle shear. Alignment of crushed minerals along the P and Y planes as trails might be a syntectonic process. Some mineral fish in mylonites are connected with stair-stepped trails (Lister and Snoke 1984; Mukherjee 2011) that define mylonitic foliations. The trapezoids, however, are normally not interconnected through trails [figs. 4–6, 7a, 8–10]. Out of 312 trapezoids studied, only one had showed a notch at one of its corners (fig. 9d) that is convex toward the grain itself. Given that trapezoidal grains can possess trails without notches [figs. 4–8, 9a–9c, 10], notching cannot be an essential criterion to form trails.

Trapezoids may be thrust over a single mineral or an aggregate of minerals of the same species [figs. 4d, 6a–6c, 7c, 8d] or of different species [figs. 4a–4c, 5, 6b, 7a, 8a–8c]. Underthrusting of more than one mineral against a single mineral grain [figs. 9b, 9c] would indicate possibly different intensities of frictional resistance along the longest margin of the latter overthrust grain during brittle shear. Where a trapezoidal mica is thrust over other minerals, it must cross-cut a third mineral grain. This must hinder the thrusting process. Therefore, brittle shear might not always be a smooth process at the grain scale.

The overriding grains may acquire smooth rounded contacts [especially figs. 7c, 8a, 8b] that may get stacked together [fig. 10a–10c]. In a stack of trapezoids, the parallel margins of individual
Figure 9. a–c, Trapezoid-shaped mineral grains with their longest margins parallel to the Y plane (white line, extrapolated from outside the field of view) do not reveal any shear sense, and no recrystallizations were noted around them. All photographs in plane-polarized light. a, Chlorite trapezoid; b, c, biotite trapezoids; d, muscovite trapezoid with a notch at its corner (full arrow). Interestingly, in b, the longest margins of two trapezoids are in contact. In b–d, the longest margins are partly in touch with another biotite grain and partly with a quartzofeldspathic mineral. On the other hand, in d, the longest margin is almost wholly in contact with another biotite grain. The nonparallel sides in all these trapezoids are curved to various degrees. Photo lengths: a, 2.5 mm (sample 18); b, 2.5 mm (sample 8); c, 2.5 mm (sample 15); and d, 1.3 mm (sample 7). A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the Journal of Geology office.

The exact geometry and orientation of individual trapezoids in the stack varies to some extent (figs. 5b, 10a–10c). In some grains, one of the margins is so small that the trapezoid looks triangular (fig. 6d). In such grains, the fourth margin is visible only at higher magnifications.

The cleavages of trapezoid grains always parallel the longest margin of the trapezoid (figs. 4–10). Since the longest margins act as the P planes and end up at angles to the Y planes, this suggests that brittle slip occurred along cleavage surfaces that also acted as P planes.

If the longest margins of the trapezoids are straight at a particular magnification, so are their cleavage planes (figs. 4, 5a, 5b, 6, 8d, 9d). Similarly, if the longest margins undulate or are kinked, so are the cleavage planes (figs. 6b, 7c, 8a, 8b, 9a–9c, 10a, 10b). The second set of these examples suggests that those trapezoid grains underwent internal crystal plastic deformation in sympathy with their brittle movement. Thus, mica trapezoids with curved or bent cleavages underwent ductile-brittle (or semibrittle) deformation rather than purely brittle.

Dynamic recrystallization developed thin mantles completely (figs. 7c, 8c, 10d) or partially (figs. 4c, 7b) around some of the individual trapezoidal micas. Dynamic recrystallization also affected a number of trapezoids in stacks (figs. 7c, 10c, 10d). Recrystallization was so extensive locally that the boundaries of individual minerals in those stacks become impossible to demarcate continuously. Recrystallized grains sometimes leave behind a pat-
Figure 10. Subcircular \( a, b, d \) stacks and trapezoidal \( c \) muscovites with their longest margins nearly parallel to the Y plane (white line, extrapolated from outside the field of view). Top-to-southwest brittle shear displayed in \( a, b; c \) and \( d \) show indistinct margins of individual muscovite grains [full arrows]. \( a \) and \( d \) photographed in cross-polarized light and \( b \) and \( c \) in plane-polarized light. Photo lengths: \( a \), 5 mm [sample 4]; \( b \), 2.5 mm [sample 12]; \( c \), 2.5 mm [sample 8]; \( d \), 2.5 mm [sample 18]. A color version of this figure is available in the online edition or from the Journal of Geology office.

tern indicative of the shear sense (fig. 7c). A mantle of irregular thickness (fig. 7b) suggests nonuniform strain hardening [Davis and Reynolds 1996].

The trapezoid-shaped minerals can be grouped on the basis of (i) their species, namely, biotite [figs. 4, 5a, 5b, 5d, 6, 8a, 8b, 8d, 9b, 9c], muscovite [figs. 5c, 7a–7c, 8c, 9d, 10a, 10b, 10d], or chlorite [fig. 9a] trapezoids; (ii) whether their long boundaries are in contact with another mineral of same species [figs. 4d, 6, 7c, 8d] or not [figs. 4a, 4c, 5, 6b, 7a, 7b, 8a–8c, 9a, 10]; (iii) are their shapes perfectly trap-
ezoidal [figs. 4, 5a–5c, 6a–6c, 7a–7c, 8b–8d, 9, 10b], or are they triangular [fig. 5d] or subcircular [fig. 8a]; (iv) trapezoids with [figs. 5d, 6b] or without trails emanating from one or more corners [figs. 4, 5a–5c, 6, 7a–7c, 8, 9, 10]; (v) trapezoid shape defined by either a single mineral [figs. 4, 5, 6, 7a–7c, 8, 9, 10a, 10b] or an aggregate [fig. 10c, 10d]; and (vi) is the stack of minerals that define the trapezoid shape is symmetric (fig. 10c, 10d) and indicative of no shear sense, or asymmetric (figs. 4, 5, 6, 7a–7c, 8, 9, 10a, 10b) with a decipherable shear sense.

All these trapezoid-shaped muscavites come from the rocks of the HHSZ, which possibly underwent an initial phase of simple shear, and two subsequent phases of simple shear in conjunction with channel-flow extrusion. None of these trapezoid groups were found to be restricted to any particular tectonic subzones [MCTU, STDs, and STDs in fig. 3] inside the HHSZ. Thus, (i) biotite trapezoids were noted both from the basal part of the HHSZ, that is, near the MCTU (fig. 5a; location 2 in fig. 3), as well as from its upper part near the location Akpa (fig. 4d; location 17 in fig. 3). (ii) A mica stack was identified (fig. 5b), nearly equidistant from the locations Karcham and Shongthong, which lies neither inside the STDs, nor the STDs, (location 6 in fig. 3). On the other hand, another mica stack, figure 10d, was found within the STDs, near Akpa (location 18 in fig. 3). (iii) Similar nonspecific oc-
currences of other microfabrics also exist in shear zones. For example, no correlation has so far been noticed between occurrences of the sigmoid, lenticular-, and parallelogram-shaped mineral fish in any particular part of the ductile shear zones (Mukherjee 2011). Instead, genesis of different groups of trapezoids could be attributed to the microscopic inhomogeneity of the sheared rocks.

Figure 11 defines a number of parameters for trapezoidal minerals and aggregates. The “aspect ratio” is the ratio between the longest margin $d$ to the perpendicular distance $e$ between the parallel margins $|R_i = de^-|$. While an $R_i$ value close to unity indicates that the trapezoid is nearly equant, values far from unity indicate that they are elongated.

To designate their angular parameters, the brittle shear $Y$ planes near the trapezoids are to be oriented parallel to the east-west axis (either analyzer or polarizer) of the objective of the microscope, as in figure 11. The angle between the longest margin to the nonparallel margin dipping in the same direction as the longest margin itself is denoted “$\theta_1$.” The angle between the other nonparallel margin to the longest margin is denoted “$\theta_2$,” $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are designated as internal angles. Obviously if the two internal angles approach equality, the nonparallel sides $a$ and $b$ tend to be the same. Following Mukherjee’s (2011) in measurement of ductile sheared mineral fish, the “local orientation” $\theta_j$ of a trapezoid is designated as the angle between the longest margin [side $d$ in fig. 11] to the $Y$ plane. Where the shear plane is not visible, it can be extrapolated from some other part of the thin section (as done in figs. 4, 6, 7a–7c, 8d, 9a–9c, 10) to measure $\theta_j$. The simple geometric relation amongst the internal angles and the length of the trapezoid margins is

$$d = c + (a \cos \theta_1 + b \cos \theta_2).$$ (1)

Measurements of aspect ratio and angles are straightforward for those trapezoids that escaped inward advance of adjacent quartzofeldspathic minerals and have nearly straight margins [figs. 4, 5a, 6a]. However, there are examples of trapezoids where matrix minerals advanced most commonly along the nonparallel margins [figs. 5c, 8d, 9], and more rarely along the parallel margins [fig. 6c]. The initial trapezoids in these cases can be estimated by reconstructing their straight margins by joining their furthest corners [see line $q$ in inset of fig. 5c]. The best fit straight line deciphered visually [line $p$ in inset of fig. 5c] was not considered since it excludes a part of the mineral body.

Figure 12 presents a plot of aspect ratio $|R_j|$, range: 0.5–21.4, mean: 5.4, mode: 4, median: 4.8] versus

![Figure 11](image)

**Figure 11.** A trapezoid-shaped mineral grain is shown along with its different shape parameters. $d$ and $c$ are the lengths of the long and short margins that are parallel. The trapezoid is oriented in such a way that the $Y$ plane $\{Y\}$ is parallel to the east-west axis of the polarizer $\{P\}$ or the analyzer $\{A\}$. For this specific position of the trapezoid, $a$ and $b$ are its [lengths of] two nonparallel margins, $d$ is the longest margin, and $c$ is the fourth margin parallel to $d$. The angle between $a$ and $d$ is $\theta_2$, between $b$ and $d$ the angle is $\theta_2$, and local orientation $\theta_j$ is between $d$ and $Y$.

**Future Work**

A few unanswered questions about the trapezoidal grains are as follows. [i] What are their three-dimensional shapes? A study conducted by serial sectioning on individual trapezoid grains, like those on garnet porphyroblasts by Bell and Bruce (2006), should help answer this problem. [ii] Are these trapezoids a common feature in other brittle shear zones? Detailed thin-section studies of rocks from a number of brittle shear zones will be needed to determine whether trapezoidal minerals are common. [iii] How is the trapezoid geometry modified if the
brittle shear zone is subject to reactivation? (iv) Simulation of trapezoid geometry in geological models. The most crucial question is the exact kinematic process that gives rise to the thrust trapezoids. Particular attention is required on how the two non-parallel margins of these trapezoids are produced. Also, do the trapezoids keep increasing their aspect ratio \( R_1 = d/e \) during prolonged brittle shear like ductile sheared mineral fish (Mukherjee 2011)? The software Elle (Bons et al. 2008) could be useful for understanding the progressive evolution of these structures and answer queries (iii) and (iv), as was shown for boudins by Koehn and Sachau (2008).

**Conclusions**

This work reports trapezoidal mineral grains, most often micas, from thin-sections of rocks in the Sutlej river section of the HHSZ, western Indian Himalaya. [1] Either [i] the longest margins of the trapezoids dip northeastward and show a top-to-southwest brittle shear, or [ii] the enveloping lines of these trapezoids coincides with the ductile shear C plane that later acted as brittle slip planes. These trapezoids are interpreted as microscopic thrust slices. The thrust grains may undergo intergrain slip, and cut across and shear over other grains. In a stack, the parallel margins of individual trapezoids remain in contact with each other. The longest margins, the cleavage planes, and the overall asymmetry of trapezoid (single grains or aggregates) act as the P planes and indicate brittle top-to-southwest shear. Any trails from the corners of the trapezoids are presumably clasts torn from the corners and define both the P and the Y planes.

[2] Some stacks of trapezoids are subcircular, and others have one of their margins so small that they appear triangles at low magnification.

[3] The cleavage planes of the trapezoidal minerals are normally straight but may be curved or kinked nonetheless parallel the longest margin of the trapezoid.

[4] Measurements on ca. 300 trapezoids of aspect ratio and a number of angles that partially characterize the trapezoid geometry exhibit wide ranges but do not relate to their genesis.

Further research is planned on the three-dimensional shapes of the trapezoids, how common they are in other shear zones, and most importantly, their likely initiation and evolution paths, preferably by computer simulations.
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